

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/020,627 | 12/06/2001 | Wilhelmus Everhardus Hennink | 313632000501 | 1202. | |
| 25225 75 | 90 04/18/2003 | | | | |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP | | EXAMINER WEBMAN, EDWARD J | | | |
| 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500 | | | | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2332 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003 | 0 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------------|
| Office Action Summany | 10/020627 | <u> </u> | FNNINK | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | , " | Group Art Unit | |
| | WEBM | 1/7/ | 161/ | · |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet l | beneath the co | rrespondence ad | dress— |
| Period for Reply | , | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE | MONTH(S) | FROM THE MAIL | NG DATE |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state | eply within the statutory minir t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro | num of thirty (30) o m the mailing date | days will be considered of this communication | d timely. |
| Status | / / | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 127/02 | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 | | | the merits is clos | ed in |
| Disposition of Claims – 21/ | | | | |
| Claim(s) $\frac{9-17}{19}$ | 26-37 | is/are p | ending in the appli | cation. |
| Of the above claim(s) | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are a | is/are allowed. | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are re | is/are rejected. | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are o | is/are objected to. | | |
| \bigcirc Claim(s) $9-17, 19-24, 26$ | are sub | are subject to restriction or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| $\ \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin | ng Review, PTO-948. | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved | ☐ disapproved | l. | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object | cted to by the Examiner. | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | |
| □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of | • , , | • • | | |
| ☐ received. | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) | • | | · | |
| · · | per) | | · | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb | per) remational Bureau (PCT | Rule 1 7.2(a)). | | |
| □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int | per) remational Bureau (PCT | Rule 1 7.2(a)). | | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb☐ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: | per) remational Bureau (PCT | Rule 1 7.2(a)). | · | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb☐ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) | ver) (PCT | Rule 1 7.2(a)). | · | on, PTO-15 |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control imber: 10/020,627

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 9 (exemplary) is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising crosslinking polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One ultimate crosslinking polymer should be elected by specifying monomers chosen from those recited in the claim.

Claim 9 (exemplary) is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymer backbones. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,627

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd March 25, 2003